A Statement of the Association’s Council: Freedom and Responsibility

The statement that follows was adopted by the Council of the American Association of University Professors in October 1970. In April 1990, the Council adopted several changes in language that had been approved by the Association’s Committee on Professional Ethics in order to remove gender-specific references from the original text.

For more than half a century the American Association of University Professors has acted upon two principles: that colleges and universities serve the common good through learning, teaching, research, and scholarship; and that the fulfillment of these functions necessarily rests upon the preservation of the intellectual freedoms of teaching, expression, research, and debate. All components of the academic community have a responsibility to exemplify and support these freedoms in the interests of reasoned inquiry.

The 1940 Statement of Principles on Academic Freedom and Tenure asserts the primacy of this responsibility. The Statement on Professional Ethics underscores its pertinency to individual faculty members and calls attention to their responsibility, by their own actions, to uphold their colleagues’ and their students’ freedom of inquiry and to promote public understanding of academic freedom. The Joint Statement on Rights and Freedoms of Students emphasizes the shared responsibility of all members of the academic community for the preservation of these freedoms.

Continuing attacks on the integrity of our universities and on the concept of academic freedom itself come from many quarters. These attacks, marked by tactics of intimidation and harassment and by political interference with the autonomy of colleges and universities, provoke harsh responses and counter-responses. Especially in a repressive atmosphere, the faculty’s responsibility to defend its freedoms cannot be separated from its responsibility to uphold those freedoms by its own actions.

* * * * *

Membership in the academic community imposes on students, faculty members, administrators, and trustees an obligation to respect the dignity of others, to acknowledge their right to express differing opinions, and to foster and defend intellectual honesty, freedom of inquiry and instruction, and free expression on and off the campus. The expression of dissent and the attempt to produce change, therefore, may not be carried out in ways that injure individuals or damage institutional facilities or disrupt the classes of one’s teachers or colleagues. Speakers on campus must not only be protected from violence, but also be given an opportunity to be heard. Those who seek to call attention to grievances must not do so in ways that significantly impede the functions of the institution.

Students are entitled to an atmosphere conducive to learning and to even-handed treatment in all aspects of the teacher-student relationship. Faculty members may not refuse to enroll or teach students on the grounds of their beliefs or the possible uses to which they may put the knowledge to be gained in a course. Students should not be forced by the authority inherent in the instructional role to make particular personal choices as to political action or their own social behavior. Evaluation of students and the award of credit must be based on academic performance professionally judged and not on matters irrelevant to that performance, whether personality, race, religion, degree of political activism, or personal beliefs.
It is the mastery teachers have of their subjects and their own scholarship that entitles them
to their classrooms and to freedom in the presentation of their subjects. Thus, it is improper for
an instructor persistently to intrude material that has no relation to the subject, or to fail to present
the subject matter of the course as announced to the students and as approved by the faculty
in their collective responsibility for the curriculum.

Because academic freedom has traditionally included the instructor’s full freedom as a citi-
zen, most faculty members face no insoluble conflicts between the claims of politics, social
action, and conscience, on the one hand, and the claims and expectations of their students, col-
leagues, and institutions, on the other. If such conflicts become acute, and attention to obliga-
tions as a citizen and moral agent precludes an instructor from fulfilling substantial academic
obligations, the instructor cannot escape the responsibility of that choice, but should either
request a leave of absence or resign his or her academic position.

* * * * *

The Association’s concern for sound principles and procedures in the imposition of discipline
is reflected in the 1940 Statement of Principles on Academic Freedom and Tenure, the 1958 Statement
on Procedural Standards in Faculty Dismissal Proceedings, the Recommended Institutional Regulations
on Academic Freedom and Tenure, and the many investigations conducted by the Association into
disciplinary actions by colleges and universities.

The question arises whether these customary procedures are sufficient in the current con-
text. We believe that by and large they serve their purposes well, but that consideration should
be given to supplementing them in several respects.

First, plans for ensuring compliance with academic norms should be enlarged to emphasize
preventive as well as disciplinary action. Toward this end the faculty should take the initiative,
working with the administration and other components of the institution, to develop and main-
tain an atmosphere of freedom, commitment to academic inquiry, and respect for the academic
rights of others. The faculty should also join with other members of the academic commu-
nity in the development of procedures to be used in the event of serious disruption, or the threat
of disruption, and should ensure its consultation in major decisions, particularly those related
to the calling of external security forces to the campus.

Second, systematic attention should be given to questions related to sanctions other than
dismissal, such as warnings and reprimands, in order to provide a more versatile body of aca-
demic sanctions.

Third, the faculty needs to assume a more positive role as guardian of academic values
against unjustified assaults from its own members. The traditional faculty function in disci-
plinary proceedings has been to ensure academic due process and meaningful faculty partici-
pation in the imposition of discipline by the administration. While this function should be
maintained, faculties should recognize their stake in promoting adherence to norms essential
to the academic enterprise.

Rules designed to meet these needs for faculty self-regulation and flexibility of sanctions
should be adopted on each campus in response to local circumstances and to continued exper-
imentation. In all sanctioning efforts, however, it is vital that proceedings be conducted with
fairness to the individual, that faculty judgments play a crucial role, and that adverse judg-
ments be founded on demonstrated violations of appropriate norms. The Association will
encourage and assist local faculty groups seeking to articulate the substantive principles here
outlined or to make improvements in their disciplinary machinery to meet the needs here
described. The Association will also consult and work with any responsible group, within or
outside the academic community, that seeks to promote understanding of and adherence to
basic norms of professional responsibility so long as such efforts are consistent with principles
of academic freedom.