The Council of the Association met November 21–22, 2009, at the AAUP’s national office in Washington, D.C. President Cary Nelson presided. Members of the Council (with the exceptions of Marc Bousquet, Carl Cuttita, and Cecilia Konchar-Farr) attended. Also attending were general counsel Martha West, general secretary Gary Rhoades, and other national office staff. Reports were presented by secretary-treasurer Howard Bunsis, Rhoades, and other members of the AAUP staff.

President Nelson called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m. on November 21. He introduced the new Council members in attendance: Susan Moeller, Eastern Michigan University (District III); Craig Vasey, University of Mary Washington (District IV); Donald R. Wagner, University of West Georgia (District V); Jennifer Larson, Kent State University (District VI); Joan Chrise, Connecticut College (District IX); and Gerald Turkel, University of Delaware (District X).

Call to Order
The Council approved the minutes of its June 2009 meeting.

Dues Proposal
Associate secretary John Curtis distributed a memorandum, and the Council passed the following motion, offered by Irene Mulvey: “The Council supports, in principle, the ‘Proposal: AAUP National Dues for Advocacy Members’ and instructs the Executive Committee to consider minor adjustments to the figures in order to make the projected revenue more ‘revenue-neutral’ at the organizational level.”

Committee A on Academic Freedom and Tenure
Associate secretary Gregory F. Scholtz, staff to Committee A, reviewed several actions taken by the committee at its November 6–7 meeting.

Recommended Institutional Regulation 14 (Graduate Student Employees)
The committee approved two changes to the text of the new RIR 14 and recommended that the Council adopt the changes as AAUP policy. The first change was to footnote 2 so that the quoted sentence now reads, “participation in a strike or other work action does not by itself constitute grounds for dismissal or nonreappointment or for imposing other sanctions against faculty members.” The source of the quotation, the Association’s Statement on Collective Bargaining, would similarly be changed. A second change to the text was made in the penultimate sentence in Section C, which now reads, “Adequate cause for a dismissal will be related, directly and substantially, to the fitness of the graduate student employee in his or her professional capacity regarding teaching, research, or other academic duties.” The Council approved both changes.

Tulane University: Removal of Censure
The 2009 Annual Meeting delegated authority to the committee to act on the censure regarding Tulane University. The committee agreed that the administration has provided a suitable response regarding the use of censure removal in any litigation, and it accordingly approved the removal of Tulane University from the Association’s list of censured administrations.

“Statement of Principle: Free Expression at Risk, at Yale and Elsewhere”
The committee endorsed this statement, which Cary Nelson and Joan Bertin, executive director of the National Coalition against Censorship, prepared.

Statement to the American Bar Association on “Security of Position”
Committee A consultant Matthew Finkin composed a statement in response to the March 10, 2009, report on legal accreditation standards by a committee of the ABA’s Section on Legal Education and Admissions to the Bar. Committee A endorsed the statement, to which Finkin will make modest revisions before it is submitted.

Resolution in Honor of Lawrence S. Poston
The committee approved a resolution expressing gratitude for the service of long-time committee member Larry Poston, who recently resigned his committee consultancy while agreeing to continue to participate in the committee’s work without attending meetings.
**Conversion of Appointments to the Tenure Track**

The committee, led by Nelson and associate secretary Gwendolyn Bradley, discussed “Conversion of Appointments to the Tenure Track,” a draft report authored by the Committee on Contingent Faculty and the Profession, which will appear in the November–December issue of *Academe*, and online, for comment.

**Report on Dependent Care Subsidy**

Elizabeth Hoffman and Candace Kant, members of the Council’s committee on dependent care, reviewed their memorandum to the Council. The committee’s charge following the June Council meeting, as conveyed by general secretary Gary Rhoades, was as follows:

To explore and price a range of options regarding child care and elder care for members of the Council, with the aim of and plan for implementing provision of child and elder care during the days of Council/annual meetings, and/or reimbursement for such. As part of this charge, the subcommittee will also cost out the projected expenses attached to such provision given current Council membership as well as given different proportions of Council members submitting for reimbursement. In addition, the subcommittee will develop estimates of costs that would attach to extending such provision to leaders at other meetings during the year, as well as to staff, though the latter would certainly be an issue to be negotiated at the table. Any proposed provision should be made in the context of and taking into account the overall finances of the Association.

The committee, which also includes Cecilia Konchar-Farr and Donna Potts and which is staffed by associate secretary Gwendolyn Bradley, gathered relevant policies of other organizations where such could be found and conducted a brief survey of leadership bodies in order to determine level of interest and probable usage. Based on its discussions, the committee recommended that the Council approve the policy presented in its report. Following discussion, the Council did so.

**Changes to Retirement Plan for AAUP Employees**

Gary Rhoades and Laura Kumin, counsel and restructuring project manager, informed the Council that the Association’s planned restructuring will necessitate a change in the retirement plan for its employees. As a 501(c)(3) public charity, the Association sponsors a 403(b) retirement plan; as a 501(c)(6) professional association, this arm of the AAUP employing staff after restructuring only may sponsor a 401(k) retirement plan, which all nonprofits may offer their employees. Therefore, in order to continue offering a retirement plan to employees, the AAUP will have to switch from a 403(b) plan to a 401(k) plan.

The Council approved the following resolution, which the Executive Committee had endorsed at its meeting on Friday, November 20:

WHEREAS, AAUP now has a 403(b) retirement plan that it will not be able to continue after restructuring, and

WHEREAS, AAUP could provide a 401(k) plan retirement plan to its employees now, as well as after restructuring, and

WHEREAS, Council, as the governing body of the AAUP, does intend to continue to provide a retirement plan to AAUP employees (without obligation to maintain such a plan for any given period of time and reserving for itself the same rights to amend or terminate as have existed under the current 403(b) retirement plan) and has determined that it prefers to terminate that plan if termination is practicable, and

WHEREAS, Council has determined that the transition from a 403(b) plan to a 401(k) plan should occur earlier than the implementation of restructuring, which will necessitate that the Executive Committee take actions on these matters pursuant to Article IV, Section 5 of the AAUP Constitution between meetings of the Council, NOW THEREFORE, Council hereby delegates to the Executive Committee all such powers as are necessary and authorizes the Executive Committee to take such actions as are necessary, including but not limited to, amendment of the existing 403(b) retirement plan, to close down that plan, preferably by terminating it, and if that is not practicable, then by freezing the plan.

Council further hereby delegates to the Executive Committee all such powers as are necessary and authorizes the Executive Committee to take such actions as are necessary, to open an AAUP 401(k) plan on such terms as the general secretary determines to be reasonable and recommends to the Executive Committee, subject to the Executive Committee’s agreement to those terms.

**Changes to Personnel Policies and Procedures Handbook**

General counsel Martha S. West led a discussion of proposed revisions to the handbook of personnel policies that govern national AAUP staff. Pursuant to the staff union collective bargaining agreement, a committee was
formed and tasked with revising and updating the handbook. Committee members are West and, from the national staff, assistant director for media relations and Web manager Robin Burns and associate secretaries Robert Kreiser, Rachel Levinson, and Anita Levy.

The Council approved the following motion after discussion: “Council hereby approves the proposed 2009 revisions to the Personnel Policies and Procedures. In addition, in light of the upcoming renegotiation of the AAUP Staff Union contract, Council hereby delegates to the Executive Committee the authority to make any additional revisions to the handbook that are deemed necessary as the result of collective bargaining. Finally, Council hereby delegates to the Executive Committee the authority to revise the Retirement Plan provision as necessary when the Association’s 401(k) retirement plan is chosen.”

Report of the Secretary-Treasurer
Secretary-treasurer Howard Bunsis reviewed the 2009 financials and presented the 2010 proposed budget.

Status of Membership Software Implementation
An update was provided on the current situation in implementing the ACGI software, including how it will be financed, following up on a presentation by associate secretary Katherine Isaac at the August 2009 executive committee retreat.

Report on Organizing
Howard Bunsis, in his position as chair of the Collective Bargaining Congress, and Michael Mauer, director of the Department of Organizing and Services, addressed organizing efforts underway at several campuses. Gary Rhoades shared the welcome news that the faculty of the University of Connecticut Health Center on November 17 and 18 voted that the AAUP will be the collective bargaining agent of the research scientists, dentists, and physicians in the health center.

Rhoades provided an update on activities at Bowling Green State University in Ohio, where the Association is in the midst of an intense card campaign.

Stephen Aby, Council member from the University of Akron, said that optional DNA testing for new hires, which the administration wanted to impose at its discretion, has been rescinded by the administration. Aby noted that the faculty’s current contract expires in December 2009.

Jacqueline Arante told the group that Portland State University ratified a contract in October 2009. Robert Comeau addressed the Union County College faculty’s success in negotiating raises of 4.65 percent annually for the next three years.

Discussion of 2010 Conference and Ninety-Sixth Annual Meeting
Martin Snyder, director of the Department of External Relations, addressed the reorganization that will occur with the 2010 annual meeting. Following the success in June 2009 of the Association’s Conference on the State of Higher Education, which ran three days, the conference will be expanded in 2010. Nearly four hundred presenters are expected to speak at sessions held Wednesday, June 9, to Saturday, June 14, which will run concurrently with Association business meetings.

Results of September 2009 Membership Survey
Martin Snyder reviewed results from the 2009 membership survey and responded to questions from the Council about the findings and about possible activities based on the survey. One suggestion spoke to the importance of reporting findings to members to indicate that the Association is using the data to inform its work.

Election Appeals Committee
Associate secretary John Curtis coordinated the selection of the Election Appeals Committee. Flo Hatcher, Jennifer Larson, and Saranna Thornton, with Judith Johnston as the alternate, agreed to serve as the 2009 Election Appeals Committee. A motion endorsing the nominations passed.

Approval of 2010 Budget Proposal
The proposed 2010 budget was approved.

Member Benefits Program
Martin Snyder and Laura Kumin reviewed their memorandum to the Council about the expansion of the Association’s member benefits program. The Association’s current program consists primarily of insurance offerings and financial services. Through a program with Marsh & McLennan, the AAUP offers its members professional and chapter liability insurance, accidental death and dismemberment, life, long-term care, and several types of health insurance. It offers members auto, home, condominium, and renter’s insurance through a program with Liberty Mutual and offers financial services, including an AAUP-branded credit card and CDs, through an arrangement with Bank of America. The Association has also offered a discounted subscription to the Chronicle of Higher Education.
The responses to the member survey indicate that members do value benefits and are interested in having AAUP expand the program to include new types of benefits. At the same time, relatively few members take advantage of the benefits currently offered and generally do not know much about the existing program. The Council decided not to devote additional staff time to enhancement of the member benefits program.

**Changes to the Association’s Travel Policy**

Chief financial officer Tess Esposito and senior counsel Rachel Levinson, with the assistance of other staff, recently reviewed the Association’s travel policy, which was last updated in 2004, and presented to the Council a revised policy for its review and approval.

During discussion, second vice president Estelle Gellman suggested changes to the first paragraph in the “Meal Allowances” section of the policy. The change was approved 16–13.

That paragraph now reads:

Travelers should normally limit the cost of meals to no more than $80.00 per day, including tips and alcoholic beverages. The General Secretary or Chief Financial Officer will make final determinations regarding requests for reimbursement of over $80.00 per day.

The subsequent paragraph detailing reimbursement for the purchase of alcoholic beverages was removed from the policy.

The Council approved the revised travel policy, to take effect at the close of the Council meeting.

**Report from the General Secretary**

Rhoades reported to the Council on how far the Association has come and where it is headed.

**New Business**

Patricia Bentley asked for an update on the search for a government relations associate. John Curtis identified the other members of the search committee: Brian Turner, chair of the Committee on Government Relations; Judith Johnston, chair of the Assembly of State Conferences; and associate secretary Gregory F. Scholtz. The committee received more than 310 applications for the position; three candidates will participate in upcoming interviews at the national office, with two additional candidates under consideration.

Charles Parrish asked about the status of the search for a senior program officer in the Department of Organizing and Services. The position is a one-year special appointment, half-funded by the Collective Bargaining Congress. Associate secretary Michael Mauer indicated that Kira Schuman has been hired for the position. She will start on January 1, 2010. Mauer distributed copies of her resume to the Council.

With no further business, the Council adjourned.
Recommendations by Committee A on Academic Freedom and Tenure

David Rabban presented Committee A’s first of two recommendations to the annual meeting regarding the imposition of censure. The Council concurred in Committee A’s recommendation that censure be imposed on the administration of Clark Atlanta University.

Gregory Scholtz, director of the Department of Academic Freedom, Tenure, and Governance, presented Committee A’s recommendation that censure be imposed on the administration of the University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston. The Council also concurred in this recommendation.

Rabban reported on the work of Committee A’s subcommittee on academic freedom and politically controversial personnel decisions. The subcommittee presented a draft report to Committee A at its June 5–6 meeting, and it will be revising the draft for further discussion at the committee’s November meeting.

Patricia Bentley asked about the existing censure of the administration of the State University of New York, at which she is a faculty member. She expressed little hope the administration will adopt Association-recommended policies in an effort to have the censure removed. Kevin Mattson asked how particular institutions are persuaded to make policy changes relevant to the Association’s mission. Gerald Turkel suggested that relevant language can be included in collective bargaining agreements; Rabban stated that faculty senates can get involved; and senior counsel Rachel Levinson noted that appropriate policies should be included in faculty handbooks.

Recommendation by the Committee on College and University Governance

Larry Gerber presented the committee’s recommendation to the annual meeting that Antioch University be placed on the list of institutions sanctioned for infringement of governance standards. The Council concurred in the recommendation. He also commented on an upcoming governance conference to be held November 12–14 in Washington, which will feature panel presentations and workshops.
Recommendations of the Committee on Membership

Judith Johnston, on behalf of the Committee on Membership, presented the committee’s recommendations regarding the reauthorization of comprehensive dues.

She indicated that several conferences required dues reauthorization. The Council concurred in the committee’s recommendations that the Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Pennsylvania, and Virginia conferences be reauthorized for participation for three years at Tier III (lowest level). The Council then concurred in the committee’s recommendation that the Indiana conference continue participation for one year, also at Tier III.

Recommendation on Dues Proposal

General secretary Gary Rhoades presented a resolution proposed by the Council’s executive committee to reform the Association’s dues structure for its advocacy members. The Council approved recommending the following resolution to the Ninety-sixth Annual Meeting:

WHEREAS, the AAUP’s elected leadership recognizes that the Association’s current dues structure has become increasingly complex and some faculty members struggle to pay for AAUP dues given economic realities; and

WHEREAS, the AAUP once had a progressive dues structure based on income which reflects the practice of many disciplinary associations; and

WHEREAS, in its November 2009 meeting the AAUP Council endorsed a simplified progressive dues structure for advocacy members — not affecting collective bargaining members or their chapters — to be proposed for adoption at the June 2010 Annual Meeting; and

WHEREAS, the Assembly of State Conferences Executive Committee has voted unanimously to endorse a progressive dues structure for AAUP advocacy members; and

WHEREAS, the AAUP leaders and staff have explored numerous sets of income-related dues structures with the goals of keeping dues as low as possible while overall maintaining the same revenue by focusing on recruiting more members;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the AAUP Ninety-sixth Annual Meeting, including the delegates thereto, endorses the adoption of a simplified progressive dues system for AAUP advocacy members beginning January 1, 2011, such that dues rates will be determined by the following salary bands, based on self-reported academic income:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Salary</th>
<th>Annual Dues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;$30,000</td>
<td>$45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$30,001–$40,000</td>
<td>$60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$40,001–$50,000</td>
<td>$80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$50,001–$60,000</td>
<td>$100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$60,001–$70,000</td>
<td>$140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$70,001–$80,000</td>
<td>$165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$80,001–$100,000</td>
<td>$185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$100,001–$120,000</td>
<td>$205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;$120,000</td>
<td>$225</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RESOLVED, that the progressive dues amounts will be adjusted annually using the formula adopted by the Seventy-second Annual Meeting in 1986, based on the average consumer price index and average salary increase for continuing faculty as reported in the “Annual Report on the Economic Status of the Profession”;

RESOLVED, that the dues rates above include the amounts formerly paid by individual advocacy members for chapter and state conference dues. The amounts paid to chapter and conferences will remain at the 2010 level for 2011 and 2012. Thereafter the normal reauthorization procedures will resume; and

RESOLVED, that the Association will closely monitor the economic impact of the progressive dues structure on faculty and the organization; the Membership Department will report annually to the Council.

Candace Kant asked how the new dues structure would affect the agreement that the Association has with the Nevada conference, as the Nevada Faculty Alliance collects advocacy dues statewide. Rhoades stated that the Association will have to work with the alliance to make dues collection in Nevada manageable and equitable.

Recommendation on Nominations for Council Membership

The Council approved the following resolution proposed by its executive committee regarding nomination of candidates for Council positions for the 2010–11 election cycle:

WHEREAS, the Council of the American Association of University Professors desires to provide guidance to the AAUP Nominating Committee in its selection of candidates for the 2010-11 election of Council members;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that any person who is a member in good standing of the AAUP at the time of nomination, at the commencement of balloting, and thereafter if elected,
and who presents (or for whom is presented) to the Nominating Committee by the date below the written endorsements of six active members of the Association, and who accepts nomination if nominated by another, shall be placed by the Nominating Committee on the ballot for election to the AAUP Council during the 2010–11 election cycle;

RESOLVED, that all petitions or endorsements for nomination to Council shall be submitted to the AAUP Nominating Committee no later than November 1, 2010, with the Nominating Committee to issue its report on or before November 12, 2010;

RESOLVED, that all candidates must identify whether they wish to run as district-elected members or at-large members of Council;

RESOLVED, that Article V, Section 2 of the AAUP Constitution, stating that “the Nominating Committee shall nominate two active members of the Association for each position to be filled,” is hereby interpreted to mean that the Nominating Committee shall nominate at least two active members of the Association for each position to be filled; namely, all those fulfilling the conditions set out in the first Resolved paragraph;

RESOLVED, that the Nominating Committee shall notify the membership, or the relevant portion thereof, two weeks prior to November 1, 2010, if an insufficient number of candidates have been submitted for a particular position; and

RESOLVED, that the Executive Committee of the AAUP is directed to consider this matter further and propose modifications to the nomination process, possibly including amendments to the AAUP Constitution, for consideration by the Council in November 2010.

In addition, the Council approved a motion to amend the Election Bylaws to reflect the resolution just passed as well as several minor housekeeping changes. Specifically, Section IA was revised to indicate that the Nominating Committee’s report shall be completed in time for publication to the membership sufficiently in advance of the election, rather than specifically in the November–December Academe; Section IB was revised to incorporate the dates and specifics set out in the resolution for the 2010–11 election; and Section IC 2 was revised to add “district-elected” before “Council nominees.”

General Good and Welfare

First vice president Jeffrey Butts asked that the Council remember its former member Glenn Howze, who passed away in May.

Associate secretary Katherine Isaac reported that at the June 11 field staff breakfast it was generally agreed that the Association needs to adopt a better billing system. She indicated that collective bargaining chapters currently get hard copies of their records to update for the national office but that eventually they will themselves be able to update their records on the Association’s Web site.

Election of Three Council Members to 2010 Nominating Committee

Associate secretary Eric Combest on June 11 requested nominations of three members to the Association’s 2010 Nominating Committee. Purificación Martínez and Mayra Besosa were already members, representing the Assembly of State Conferences and the Collective Bargaining Congress, respectively. Irene Mulvey, Charles Parrish, and Saranna Thornton submitted themselves for nomination, and they were elected by acclamation. On June 13, Mulvey stepped down so that she could run for the Council again, and Craig Vasey nominated himself and was elected by the Council to replace her.

Report of the Election Committee

Martin Snyder, staff to the committee, commented on its report. He stated that voter turnout for the Association’s recent election of Council members and officers was unusually low, with only 14 percent of eligible voters participating.

For the first time, elections for the officers of two state conferences, Ohio and Virginia, were included in the national election process. The conference elections were successfully conducted, and the conferences were spared the expenditure of considerable time and money. Additional conferences could similarly benefit from participation in the national election process.

Snyder reviewed three complaints related to the 2009–10 election received by the committee.

The first complaint, actually a compendium of new and previously lodged complaints, was referred to outside legal counsel for analysis and recommendations. The Election Committee is currently reviewing outside counsel’s recommendations and preparing a response to the complainant.

The committee received two further complaints, both involving the use of membership mailing lists. The first case involved an electioneering message sent by a candidate for election. The candidate was a former
officer of the Association. The committee asked the candidate to explain the origin of the mailing list used. The candidate responded that the list had been compiled through personal effort from publicly available Web sites, and the committee accepted the explanation as satisfactory.

Similarly, the second complaint alleged the improper use of AAUP membership mailing lists for electioneering purposes. In this case, the author of the electioneering message was not a candidate for election but wrote in support of other candidates. Again, the Election Committee inquired about the source of the mailing list. The author of the electioneering message indicated that the source was AAUP membership lists that had been obtained through service as an officer of the Association. The Election Committee concluded that the membership lists used were AAUP resources and that by using such resources the author of the electioneering message had violated AAUP election procedures, including the statement in the Election Bylaws that “no . . . Association funds shall be expended in support of any candidate.” The Election Committee alerted the author of the message to the violation and admonished the author against any future use of Association resources for electioneering purposes.

In order to clarify AAUP policy on the use of membership mailing lists for electioneering purposes and to provide guidance for the future, Snyder reported that the Election Committee drafted the following policy, which general counsel Martha West presented:

Current and former AAUP Council members and officers (including the ASC and CBC chairs) may campaign for candidates for officer positions and national Council to the extent permitted by the Election Bylaws and other guidance from the AAUP and the Election Committee. They are, however, prohibited from using membership lists prepared by the AAUP to send out electioneering communications if they have received those lists in their capacity as Council members or officers or have access to such lists that is either denied to non-officers or not made available to non-officers without payment.

In addition, Charles Parrish asked about the general guidelines for dissemination of information by candidates and use of e-mail to send or receive messages. After discussion, West suggested that a task force or the Election Committee examine the use of e-mail addresses and provide additional guidance; Rhoades endorsed the idea and suggested that the Election Committee be tasked with this project. The Council also discussed other options for informing the membership about candidates, including having a dedicated Web site.

Snyder then shared the committee’s recommendation that the Council approve amendments to the Election Bylaws, following the approval of proposed amendments to the constitution of the ASC by that body on June 11.

The approved amendments to the ASC constitution provide, among other things, that the ASC chair be elected directly by the membership rather than by delegates from the various state conferences. The Council therefore approved the following changes to the Election Bylaws: deleted Article VII.A, dealing with the election of the chair by delegates, and added the ASC chair to Article V of the election bylaws, which addresses the timing for balloting.

The Council approved the proposed changes to the Election Bylaws.

Snyder indicated that a third member of the 2010–11 Election Committee was needed. Incoming Council member Deanna Wood volunteered, and the Council accepted her offer. The other two members of the 2010–11 committee are the chair of the Committee on Membership (Ernst Benjamin) and the incoming general counsel (Robert O’Neil, whose appointment the Council approved in an electronic vote in May).

Resolution to Amend the Functions of the Executive Committee

The Council received in its materials a draft resolution to amend the functions of the executive committee of the Council to authorize it to negotiate and ratify the national staff union contract. Following discussion, the Council approved amendments to the document proposed by Marc Bousquet and Larry Gerber, including ultimate Council authority to ratify staff union contracts. It unanimously adopted the resolution as follows:

WHEREAS, the majority of eligible AAUP staff have elected to unionize in order to collectively bargain for salary, benefits, and other commonly bargained issues; and

WHEREAS, AAUP Council has voluntarily recognized the elected staff union and agreed to bargain with such unit,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Council hereby delegates to the Executive Committee all such powers as are necessary, and authorizes the Executive Committee to take such actions as are necessary, including but not limited to, appointing one or more designated management negotiators, to negotiate collective bargaining agreements with the AAUP staff union;

RESOLVED, that the Council regards the dele-
outreach and visibility

Gerald Turkel suggested that the Council begin to engage in outreach to local membership and to chapter leaders. The executive committee of the CBC undertook a similar project, each member responsible for contacting numerous chapters. Those individuals who were contacted were eager to know about the national office’s and CBC’s current activities. In turn, they informed the callers about local issues, concerns, and problems. Turkel indicated that he would send out related electronic materials to the Council. ASC chair Judith Johnston supported the measure, stating that she feels it will address the “disconnect” that members feel exists. Linda Carroll said that she did not know all the members in her district and wondered if it might be possible to contact them. ASC past chair Flo Hatcher wanted to know what resources would be committed to the project, citing the numerous activities in which the national staff is currently engaged. Rhoades noted that this work should be the responsibility of the Council, citing its fiduciary responsibilities coupled with representation of the Association and building membership.

Update on Restructuring, 401(k) Plan

Laura Kumin, outgoing counsel and restructuring project manager, stated that the Association has yet to receive a response from the Internal Revenue Service to its request for a private letter ruling. She noted that the Association’s planned change from a 403(b) to a 401(k) retirement plan for staff will occur shortly.

Planning for the AAUP Foundation

Laura Kumin briefly reviewed her memorandum to the Council, which outlined four key issues concerning the new AAUP Foundation that AAUP leadership should address in preparation for the restructuring of the Association:

1. Selection of the foundation’s “public” directors
2. The visibility of the foundation to AAUP members and the public
3. The foundation’s grant-seeking and fundraising priorities
4. Directing support within the foundation and from there to programs and activities within the AAUP that are appropriate for foundation support

1) Gary Rhoades
2) Martin Snyder
3) John Curtis
4) Patrick Shaw
5) Gregory Scholtz
Kumin indicated that the first issue required immediate action. Under its constitution, the AAUP Foundation will have nine directors. Six of them are AAUP leaders: the AAUP president, first vice president, second vice president, secretary-treasurer, the chair of Committee A, and one additional member of the Council chosen by the Council. Those six choose the remaining three, who are described in the constitution as “public directors.”

Nelson presented the executive committee’s recommendation that Ellen Schrecker be appointed as a director. The Council unanimously passed a motion appointing Schrecker.

The discussion shifted to the work of the foundation to raise money for the Association’s charitable activities. Kumin noted that, in the past, contributions were solicited through the Association’s capital campaign. Chief financial officer Tess Esposito noted that the Association currently has twenty funds. The directors of the foundation will be able to concentrate their efforts on increasing the size of the funds, each of which has its own guidelines. Susan Moeller asked that a list of the Association’s current funds be circulated, and Lenore Beaky asked that the Council receive regular updates regarding funds and expenditures.

Recognition of Outgoing Council Members
Nelson on June 13 recognized outgoing Council members Patricia Bentley, Jeffrey Butts, Linda Carroll, Robert Comeau, Anne Doyle, Elizabeth Hoffman, and Daniel Murphy, as well as general counsel Martha West. Each was presented with or will receive a certificate of appreciation.

Report of the General Secretary
Gary Rhoades asked that guidelines for the evaluation of the general secretary be established. He suggested that a committee be appointed to develop guidelines for and clarify the evaluation process, including how material will be solicited and from whom, and how it will then be reviewed and communicated to the relevant individuals. He stated a strong preference that the process be clear and transparent from the start, that the reviewing body have access to all materials, and that guidelines be applied consistently.

First vice president Jeffrey A. Butts reviewed the recently completed first-year evaluation of the general secretary. The subcommittee tasked with the evaluation consisted of him and fellow executive committee members Lenore Beaky and Wendy Roworth; President Nelson also participated in the subcommittee’s operations. The group formulated questions and sent out letters soliciting feedback on the general secretary’s performance. Rhoades was informed. Once documentation was received, the group held a conference call and met several times during the March executive committee meeting.

Parrish moved that a three-person committee of Council members be identified to discuss and review past evaluation processes and then develop guidelines that should be presented to the Council three weeks before the November Council meeting. Associate general secretary Martin Snyder requested that staff members also be consulted about their role in the process. Wendy Roworth moved to change the proposed number of people on the committee from three to five. The Council passed a motion concurring in the change and unanimously passed Parrish’s motion.

Update on Rerun of ASC Chair Election
The Council passed a motion to go into executive session for an update on the rerun of the ASC chair election. After Levinson updated the Council on the progress of the rerun election, the Council passed a motion to come out of executive session.

Discussion of Centennial Fundraising
Kumin reviewed her memorandum on how best to design and implement a successful centennial fundraising campaign and reminded the Council that the Association is four and a half years away from its centennial in January 2015.

Ellen Schrecker suggested that the Association hire a professional fundraiser to assist in its efforts. She and Larry Gerber would also like to edit a volume commemorating the Association’s first one hundred years, and they are soliciting suggestions for a collection of essays.

Report of CBC Chair
Howard Bunsis, as chair of the CBC, presented his report to the Ninety-sixth Annual Meeting on June 12.

Rhoades asked Gerald Turkel to address the collective bargaining agreement recently achieved by the chapter at the University of Delaware. Turkel indicated that he would send to the Council the provision on academic freedom in the new agreement between the AAUP chapter and the University of Delaware that was to go into effect July 1, 2010.

Business from the 2010 Annual Meeting
Nelson indicated that, compared to last year’s Conference on the State of Higher Education, there was an increase of 100 presentations to 250, and he said
that there would have been 350 presenters if travel money for the additional hundred could have been obtained. Graduate students have requested that next year their registration fee be half of the regular fee. Flo Hatcher, past chair of the ASC, and associate secretary Gwendolyn Bradley suggested that a reduced fee might also be extended to contingent faculty, and Nelson expressed support for this idea. Nelson also suggested that the Association offer to host both the biennial Coalition of Contingent and Academic Labor conference and that of the Coalition of Graduate Employee Unions.

New Business
Lenore Beaky indicated that she would like to have the Committee on Community Colleges reinvigorated.

With no further business, the Council adjourned at 2:00 p.m. on June 13.